#### Tragedy Strikes – what next? Setting Up a Successful Patient Disclosure Program

#### Timothy B McDonald, MD JD

Professor, Anesthesiology and Pediatrics University of Illinois College of Medicine at Chicago Associate Chief Medical Officer, Safety & Risk Management University of Illinois Medical Center at Chicago

# Acknowledgements

- Nikki Centomani, Director UIC Safety & Risk
- Joe White, President, University of Illinois
- John DeNardo, CEO, UIC HealthCare System
- Rosemary Gibson, author
- Rick Boothman, CRO, University of Michigan
- Helen Haskell, Mothers Against Medical Error

- Decide upon and adopt "full disclosure principles"
- Find your "voice" the stories that will inspire
- Identify champions who can tell the story
- Find the stakeholders and achieve buy-in
- Map out the process including apology and remedy
- Train the trainers and train the organization
- "Just do it"
- Track your progress: celebrate success, learn from mistakes

#### Full Disclosure of medical error: a definition

"Communication of a health care provider and a patient, family members, or the patient's proxy that acknowledges the occurrence of an error, discusses what happened, and describes the link between the error and outcomes in a manner that is meaningful to the patient."

Fein et al.: Journal of General Internal Medicine, March, 2007: 755-761

- Decide upon and adopt "full disclosure" principles
  - We will provide effective communication to patients and families following adverse patient events
  - We will apologize and compensate quickly and fairly when inappropriate medical care causes injury
  - We will defend medically appropriate care vigorously
  - We will reduce patient injuries and claims by learning from the past

Credit to Rick Boothman, CRO, University of Michigan

#### Finding your voice

- "Putting the face on patient error"
- Tell the story in to inspire change and commitment
- Every hospital/medical center has a story
- Find champions who can tell the story
- Engage patient family victims of error
- Recall the Hippocratic Oath

- Identify potential champions and possible stakeholders
  - Patients and families
  - Physicians
  - Nurses
  - Pharm Ds
  - Other Health Care Providers
  - Guest Services
  - Administrators
  - Public relations
  - Risk Management
  - Legal Counsel: "in house"; outside counsel
  - Board of Trustees

- Achieve "buy in" from top, bottom & sideways
  - Identify highest barriers
  - Making the financial case
  - The link between patient safety and transparency
  - The ethical imperative

#### Achieving "buy-in": the biggest barriers

- 16 Chicago medical malpractice defense law firms interviewed as part of RFP process
- Results
- Other big barriers: medical malpractice insurance companies
- Must reach consensus on National Practitioner Data Bank issues

Achieving "buy-in": the link between transparency and patient safety

> Recognizing and accepting responsibility for medical errors is the first, necessary step, toward preventing future similar errors

> Expressing regret for the adverse outcomes caused by medical errors is the next necessary step

Use stories to help achieve this end

- Achieving "buy-in": the ethical imperative
  Five Years After *To Err is Human* What have we learned?
  JAMA May 18, 2005
- " [T]he ethically embarrassing debate over disclosure of injuries to patients is, we strongly hope, drawing to a close... Few health care organizations now question the imperative to be honest and forthcoming with patients following an injury."

- Map out the process
  - Adverse reporting process
  - Report screening
  - Rapid error investigation teams
  - Patient communication process: error disclosure team
  - Providing appropriate remedy
  - Accountability

#### The University of Illinois Patient Communication Process



© University of Illinois Board of Trustees, Reproduction without permission prohibited

### After discovery of error: what next?

- The "balance beam" approach.
- Credit to Jerry Hickson, MD and Jim Pichert, PhD
- Vanderbilt's Center for
  Patient and Professional
  Advocacy

What is disclosed depends on what is "known".



© University of Illinois Board of Trustees, Reproduction without permission prohibited

- Must create an accounting method for remedies
- Most common remedies
  - Waive hospital/professional fees for expenses caused by error
  - Provide compensation for lost wages, child care etc
  - "Pain and suffering"
  - Recommend separating clinicians and "remedy providers" [claims]

- Train the trainers and train the organization
  - Teaching communication skills: SPs
    - Understanding "emotional intelligence"
  - What patients want to know
    - Explanation
    - Accountability
    - Prevention of future events
    - Non-abandonment: patient & provider
    - "Benevolent gestures"

#### "Just do it"

- "Buy-in" from all stakeholders
- Fully approved process from start to finish
- Creation of a patient communication consult service for communicating after all adverse events
- Leadership oversight of process
- True test is first "big" error
- Collect data
- Track results

## The Patient Communication Consult Service

at Chicago

Department of Safety and Risk Management

**Medical Center** 

Patient Communication Consult Service

> The Power of Apology and Communication

Patient Safety First

312-413-4RSK (4775)

© University of himois board of frustees, Reproduction without permission prohibited

Track your progress

© University of Illinois Board of Trustees, Reproduction without permission prohibited

- Celebrate successes and learn from mistakes
  - Monthly lunchtime communication consult meetings
    - Share experiences
    - Helping to deal with "second victim", protect the messenger
    - Creating "disclosure" de-briefing tool
    - Intervening with MDs who offer remedies!
    - Discussing ways to ensure appropriate "communicators" and attendees to disclosure meetings
    - Consensus on process improvements

- Examples of clear errors
  - Retained object
  - Wrong-sided procedure
  - Medication overdose
  - Missed diagnosis
  - Futile procedure

#### Learning from mistakes

- Incomplete investigation
- "Wrong" person communicating
- "Right" person absent
- Finger-pointing or "jousting"
- Delay in disclosure
- Failing to follow-up
- Failing to recognize the second victim